**Introduction**

Left ventricular assist devices (LVADs) play a crucial role in providing hemodynamic support in patients with end-stage chronic heart failure. LVADs are used in patients awaiting cardiac transplantation by acting as a bridge to transplant (BTT) and as destination therapy (DT) in patients not eligible for heart transplant [1–3]. More recently, LVADs have been used as bridge to decision (BTD) in patients with uncertain eligibility for transplantation and as bridge to recovery (BTR) in critically ill patients expected to sufficiently or totally recover without the need for a transplant. Their use for all the above applications is expected to increase in the future as devices become more compact and safer to use.

LVADs actively interact with the native heart and circulation to effectively improve end-organ perfusion while unloading the left ventricle. These factors each contribute independently the profound reverse ventricular remodeling observed during prolonged LVAD support [4]. Understanding the physiology of LVAD hemodynamics is vital for clinicians to improve patient care especially since there will be an increasing number of LVAD patients in the coming years. We therefore aim to present a clinically relevant review of the physiology of LVADs as applied to patients with chronic heart failure.

**Types of Ventricular Assist Device**

The human heart is a complex volume displacement, pulsatile pump. First generation of LVAD’s mimicked this concept. Due to their large size, high rates of adverse events, and device failures [5], their use was supplanted entirely as soon as smaller, continuous-flow devices became available.

Second-generation axial-flow pumps utilize a rotational pump design with ceramic contact bearings. The blood enters and is pushed forward by a screwing motion eventually exiting the pump coaxially [6]. Despite smaller size and higher long-term reliability due to only one moving part [7], contact bearings are prone to frictional wear overtime, incomplete bearing wash, potential for stasis, and thrombus formation at the rotor-bearing interface [8]. Further iterations resulted in the current third generation of pumps which are centrifugal in design with noncontact...
bearings. In these pumps, the blood enters the pump, is rotated by the impeller, and ejected at 90° to the inlet flow. The use of noncontact bearings facilitates increased blood flow around the impeller and better washing of the impeller surface. This is expected to increase pump longevity by reducing mechanical wear [9].

Continuous-Flow Left Ventricular Assist Device (cf-LVAD) Hemodynamics

Cf-LVADs, including both axial and centrifugal pumps, impart kinetic energy and accelerate the blood by impeller rotation [10]. Pump function of cf-LVADs is characterized by pump speed (rpm), electrical power consumption (watts), flow (L/min), and the degree of pressure pulsatility during operation (via the pulsatility index, PI). The operator sets speed; power is a measure of current drawn by and voltage applied to the pump and relates for blood flow. In clinical practice, flow is not measured directly but is estimated from rotational speed (rpm) and power consumption in a majority of contemporary cf-LVADs; HeartAssist 5 and aVAD pumps use a transit-time ultrasonic probe to measure flow directly. The flow through the cf-LVADs is dictated by pump rotational speed, blood viscosity (related to hematocrit), preload pressure at the pump inlet, and afterload pressure at pump outlet according to the pump’s unique pressure-flow characteristics, the HQ curve [11].

Pressure-Flow Relationship (HQ Curve)

Pump pressure head (H), or the pressure gradient (∆P) across the pump, is the pressure difference between the inlet and outlet ports of the pump. In the case of LVADs that pump from the LV to the aorta, ∆P = aortic pressure − LV pressure + combined pressure loss across the inlet cannula and outlet graft [12]. At fixed operating speed, ∆P dictates flow according to the pressure-flow relationship, the so-called HQ curve, which is unique to each pump. Clinically, the relevant HQ curve is that of the entire system, which includes the pump, the inflow cannula, and the outflow graft [6].

HQ curves are typically generated in mock loops by measuring the pressure difference between the system inlet and outlet while gradually increasing resistance to outflow to the point of pump shutoff. Different curves are generated at different operating pump speeds and plotting ∆P on the y-axis and pump flow on the x-axis [12]. However, from a physiological and clinical perspective, it is more appropriate to plot ∆P on the x-axis (since this is the clinically independent parameter) and pump flow (the dependent parameter) on the y-axis. In general, cf-LVAD flow is inversely proportional to ∆P as depicted for an axial-flow pump (HeartMate 2) in Fig. 5.1a [13] and for a centrifugal flow pump (HVAD) in Fig. 5.1b [9]. Axial-flow pumps tend to have relatively linear HQ curves, whereas the HQ curve of centrifugal pumps is more nonlinear.

During normal operation, ∆P changes during the cardiac cycle, mainly due to cyclic variations of ventricular pressure during contraction (Fig. 5.2a). In systole, as the LV contracts, there is a reduction in ∆P, and flow is at a maximum. During diastole, with LV relaxation, ∆P increases, and pump flow decreases (Fig. 5.2b). Thus, due to the time-varying pressure gradient, pump flow also varies along the HQ curve with each cardiac cycle, even with a closed aortic valve [14, 15] (Fig. 5.2c, d). Accordingly, while flow from these pumps is continuous, it is not generally speaking, constant.

Significant differences can exist between centrifugal and axial-flow pumps that impact on the relative degrees of flow pulsatility for a given change in pressure, sensitivity to afterload resistances, and responses to suction [16, 10]. Importantly, differences between pumps do not result in major differences in clinical effectiveness since, in practice, RPMs are adjusted to provide the degree of support needed based on individual patient needs.
Fig. 5.1 (a) HQ relationship representative of a HeartMate II axial-flow pump at three specified RPMs. (b) HQ relationship representative of an HVAD centrifugal flow pump at three specified RPMs. (Created with Harvi-Online http://harvi.online)

Fig. 5.2 Variations of aortic and ventricular pressures during the cardiac cycle (a), the resulting time-varying pressure gradient across the pump inlet and outlet during the cardiac cycle (b), and resulting flow waveform (c). The flow waveform is determined by the time-varying pressure gradient as it projects onto the HQ curve at the specified RPM (d). LVAD flow impacts on LV filling and mechanics as depicted on the pressure-volume diagram (e) which shows in comparison with pre-LVAD conditions (dark gray loop) a leftward shift and transition from rectangular to a triangular loop (blue) [19, 23]. (Created with Harvi-Online http://harvi.online)
Impact of LVAD Pumping on Ventricular Mechanics and Energetics

Physiology of Myocardial Energetics

Myocardial oxygen consumption is affected by multiple factors which include preload, afterload, muscle mass, heart rate, and contractility [17, 18]. Coronary blood flow (CBF) on the other hand is driven by a difference between the mean arterial pressure in diastole and downstream pressure related to the mean right atrial pressure as well as the left ventricular end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP) [17].

Pressure-volume analysis unifies the complex interactions listed above. Left ventricular pressure-volume area (PVA) is defined as the area on the pressure-volume diagram bounded by the end-systolic and end-diastolic pressure-volume relationships and the systolic portion of the pressure-volume curve (Fig. 5.3) [19]. It is equal to the sum of external stroke work (SW) plus the residual potential energy (PE) stored inside the myocardium at end systole: PVA = SW + PE. PVA is equal to the total mechanical work performed by the heart on each heartbeat and provides a load-independent index of oxygen consumption per beat [20–22].

Ideal VAD-assisted hemodynamic effects, therefore, should minimize left ventricular end-diastolic pressure and PVA (leftward shift of PV loop) while improving systemic perfusion by providing normal cardiac output and blood pressure [20].

LVAD Impact on Ventricular Mechanics

Blood flow due to cf-LVADs impacts ventricular mechanics in several ways, which are readily appreciated on the ventricular pressure-volume diagram (Fig. 5.2c). First, pumping the blood directly from the LV reduces LV volume and diastolic pressure. Chronic unloading by LVADs leads to reverse ventricular remodeling that underlies, in large part, promotes recovery of myocardial function [4, 23, 24]. Second, the shape of the ventricular pressure-volume loop transitions from a rectangular shape to a triangular shape; this is because with continuous flow from the LV, ventricular volume is always decreasing, and there is a loss of the isovolumic phases of contraction and relaxation [19]. Both the degree of unloading and the degree of triangulation of the pressure-volume loop are pump RPM-dependent as illustrated in Fig. 5.4 in a preclinical model in which both HVAD and HeartMate II were studied.

Impact of Blood Inertia on the Instantaneous Pressure-Flow Relationship

In reality, the steady-state HQ relationships depicted in Fig. 5.1a, b do not adequately describe the dynamics of pump flow due to the inertia of the blood which causes instantaneous pressure-flow relationships to deviate from the curves that are measured under steady-state conditions.

![Fig. 5.3 Pressure-volume area (PVA). The PVA, composed of the external stroke work (SW) and the mechanical potential energy (PE) stored in the myocardium at end diastole, represents the total mechanical work performed by the heart and correlates closely with total myocardial oxygen consumption per beat [19, 20]. (Reprinted from Burkhoff et al. [19], with permission from Elsevier)]
Using mock circulatory loops coupled with a pneumatic mock ventricle, several studies have demonstrated that the instantaneous LVAD pressure-flow relationship deviates from the steady-state HQ curves, demonstrating hysteresis as illustrated in Fig. 5.5 [25, 26]. We can further define the impact of inertia and hysteresis on overall function using in silico modeling [27] as illustrated in Fig. 5.6. The presence of inertia (and thus hysteresis around the steady-state curve, (Fig. 5.6a, b) decreases peaks and troughs of the flow waveform (Fig. 5.6c), thus decreasing intrinsic VAD flow pulsatility, but, interestingly, does not substantially impact on the average flow and insignificantly impacts on the LV pressure-volume loop (Fig. 5.6d).

**Pump Power and Flow Relationship**

For centrifugal pumps, there is a reasonably linear relationship between the electrical power drawn and the flow generated by the pump. Accordingly, flow estimates provided by pumps such as the HVAD which are based on established lookup tables which relate RPMs, blood viscosity (related to hematocrit), and electrical power to flow are considered reasonably reliable [28, 29]. In contrast, axial-flow pumps exhibit a nonlinear, U-shaped relationship between electrical current and flow [6]. Under this circumstance, flow estimates are considered less reliable.

### Artificial Flow Pulsatility

As detailed above, flow from cf-LVADs varies with ventricular contraction and therefore can introduce a degree of arterial pressure pulsatility even if the aortic valve does not open. Based on the explanations above, intrinsic pulsatility due to ventricular contraction depends on the slope of the HQ curve [15]. The degree of pulsatility has been indexed clinically by the pulsatility index (PI) which is calculated and displayed in different ways for different pumps. For HeartMate II and III, PI is calculated as beat-to-beat amplitude between the maximal flows and minimal flows averaged over 10–15 seconds and divided by the average flow according to the formula: (maximum flow – minimum flow)/average flow. In HVADs pulsatility is displayed as real-time waveforms (Fig. 5.7). PI is inversely related to speed under conditions of constant preload and afterload [11].

Due to the potential physiological importance of pulsatility, several devices incorporate algorithms to vary RPMs to artificially introduce additional pulsatility; the clinical benefits
of such artificial pulsatility remain controversial [30, 31]. Purported advantages of pulsatile circulation in VAD patients include decreased blood stasis in the ventricle, intermittent aortic valve opening, decreased risk of ventricular suction by allowing intermittent LV filling, and
Fig. 5.6 Simulation model [27] showing the impact of inertia on the instantaneous LVAD pressure-flow relationship. (a) Without inertia, the instantaneous LVAD pressure-flow relationship follows the steady-state curve (gray). (b) With inertia added to the model, the loop deviates from the steady-state curve in a manner similar to that observed experimentally (as in Fig. 5.5). (c) The instantaneous flow signal pulsatility is decreased by the presence of inertia, but the mean flow is not significantly impacted. (d) Despite the impact of inertia on the flow signal, the impact on the ventricular pressure-volume loop is insignificant. (Created with Harvi-Online http://harvi.online)

potentially beneficial effects on end-organ function [32]. Some studies indicate pulsatile flow maintains lymphatic flow, decreases systemic vascular resistance [33], and improves autonomic function [34].

Accordingly, some cf-LVAD (e.g., HVAD and HM III) have an artificial pulse mode which induces pulsatile flow by transiently and rapidly varying pump speed [9]. HVAD has a so-called Lavare cycle that has been in place outside of the US for some time and more recently introduced in the US [35]. Benefits of this algorithm and pulsatility, in general, have not been definitively established.

Impact of RPMs on LVAD Flow and Total Flow to the Body

Ramp Test

One of the challenges faced in the care of LVAD patients is understanding how to optimally set the RPMs. Ideally, speed would be adjusted to simultaneously achieve normal values of filling pressures (both CVP and PCWP), arterial pressure, and total blood flow to the body. Unfortunately, this is not always possible simply by adjusting speeds, and medical management is required for adjustment of arterial resistance and
fluid status. Understanding of the complex interactions between the LVAD and body properties has been enhanced through the use of speed ramp tests.

More specifically, hemodynamic speed ramp tests in cf-LVADs can be used to assess the dynamic interactions between device speed, left and right ventricular filling pressures (PCWP and CVP, respectively), as well as valve function using invasive pulmonary artery and echocardiographic methods. Ramp tests are used in the initial postoperative care of cf-LVAD patients to determine the appropriate LVAD speed [36] and also in stable LVAD patients to optimize hemodynamic conditions through speed and medication adjustments [37] as well as diagnose device malfunction and need for surgical or conservative interventions. Table 5.1

**Table 5.1** Characteristics of axial and centrifugal-flow pump ramp tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pump</th>
<th>Lower rate</th>
<th>Upper rate</th>
<th>Speed increment</th>
<th>Parameters to stop the test</th>
<th>Device optimization goals</th>
<th>Features of pump thrombosis</th>
<th>Parameter slopes for device malfunction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMII [38]</td>
<td>8000 RPM</td>
<td>12,000 RPM</td>
<td>400 RPM</td>
<td>Suction event (and/or) LVEDD &lt;3.0 cm</td>
<td>Intermittent AV opening MAP &gt;65 mm Hg MR not more than mild in severity</td>
<td>Minimal change in LVEDD with an increase in pump speed Clinical parameters: ↑LDH</td>
<td>LVEDD slope &gt; -0.16 rpm/ increment (regardless of AV closure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAD [39]</td>
<td>2300 RPM</td>
<td>3200 RPM</td>
<td>100 RPM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LVEDD slope (varies with aortic valve closure) Open AV valve LVEDD slope &gt; -0.09 rpm/ increment Closed AV valve LVEDD slope &gt; -0.15 rpm/ increment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** LVEDD left ventricular end-diastolic dimension, AV aortic valve, RPM revolutions per minute, MAP mean arterial pressure, MR mitral regurgitation, LDH lactate dehydrogenase
describes the characteristics of ramp tests for HeartMate II and HVAD devices, devices for which most information is currently available.

**HeartMate II Echocardiographic Ramp Test**

Uriel et al. defined a systematic approach to perform and analyze hemodynamic ramp tests [38]. The ramp test protocol for axial-flow pump (HM II) is performed by reducing the RPM of the pump to 8000 RPM and measuring left ventricular end-diastolic dimension (LVEDD), left ventricular end-systolic dimension (LVESD), frequency of AV opening, degree of AR, degree of mitral regurgitation (MR), right ventricular systolic pressure (RVSP), Doppler blood pressure, heart rate, pump power, pulsatility index (PI), and pump flow. Subsequently, the speed is increased by 400 RPM at 2-minute intervals, and all measurements are repeated until the pump reaches 12,000 RPM or the maximum tolerable speed. The ramp test is stopped if there is a suction event or if the LVEDD decreases to less than 3 cm. Pump thrombosis can be diagnosed when there is minimal change in the LVEDD with an increase in pump speed. The slope of RPM-LVEDD correlates with pump thrombosis or severe outflow obstruction due to an uncoupled relationship between increases of pump speeds and decreases in LVEDD. For HM II, an RPM-LVEDD slope > −0.16 rpm/increment is significant for device malfunction.

**HVAD Echocardiographic Ramp Test**

Ramp studies performed in a centrifugal pump (e.g., HVAD) is similar to that of an axial-flow pump ramp-study protocol. However, given differences in pump operating speeds, the ramp test (HVAD protocol) is started at 2300 rpm. Subsequently, the speed is increased in steps of 100 rpm to a max of 3200 rpm. Criteria for stopping the ramp studies are the same as detailed above [37]. The parameter slopes for HVAD are significantly different from HMII and vary with the aortic valve (AV) status. With AV valve open, the RPM-LVEDD slope for device malfunction was > −0.09 rpm/increment and with closed AV > −0.15 rpm/increment [39].

Invasive ramp tests with the use of a pulmonary artery catheter to assess CVP and PCWP can provide a more detailed assessment of the underlying hemodynamic state [37, 40]. Doppler-TTE-derived variables from the LVAD outflow graft were also recently shown to predict PCWP, CO, and SVR reliably and could potentially reduce the need for invasive testing [41]. Ramp tests in stable LVAD patients are reproducible and may represent a hemodynamic fingerprint for a patient. Changes in the ramp test can be used to assess device malfunction or alterations in volume status, peripheral vascular resistance and offer an opportunity to optimize medication doses and device settings [40].

**Impact of RPM on Left Ventricle**

CF-LVADs pump blood continuously from the LV to aorta independent of the cardiac cycle. As a result, there is a loss of normal isovolumetric periods, and the PV loop morphology changes to a triangular shape from the normal rectangular or trapezoidal shape. With further increases in RPMs, the LV becomes progressively unloaded, and the PV loop shifts to the left (Fig. 5.8a). The leftward shift signifies a reduction in peak LV pressure generation and marked reduction in PVA and MVO2. As the degree of unloading increases, there is an increasing dissociation between aortic and left ventricular pressures (Fig. 5.8b–e).

**Impact of RPM on Total Body Flow**

While LVAD flow increases with an increase in RPMs, increases in RPM do not always result in increased overall flow to the body as detailed in Fig. 5.9. With the initiation of LVAD flow, LVAD
flow will unload the LV and increase afterload pressure, thus reducing intrinsic CO from the heart. As RPMs are increased, LVAD flow progressively increases, and intrinsic CO decreases. While RPMs are within a range where part of the flow is from the heart and part of the flow is from the LVAD is said to be providing partial support. Thus, within this range, while LVAD flow is increasing significantly with RPM increments, total flow seen by the body increases, but by a smaller amount. At some point, aortic pressure increases such that the LV no longer ejects and the aortic valve remains closed. After this point, total flow seen by the body is only provided by the pump; this is full support condition, and the slope of the curve relating RPMs to total flow increases.

From a terminology perspective, it is also important to distinguish between the degree of support and the degree of LV unloading (Fig. 5.10). As detailed in the next section, full support and full unloading are not synonymous.

**Defining and Quantifying Ventricular Unloading**

Promotion of ventricular reverse remodeling depends on adequate LV unloading and achieving VAD-assisted ideal hemodynamic state [42]. LV unloading has been defined as the reduction of total mechanical power expenditure (PVA-HR) of the ventricle which correlates with reductions in myocardial oxygen consumption and hemodynamic forces that lead to ventricular remodeling [19, 23]. Full unloading, therefore, only occurs when PVA has reached a minimal value (Fig. 5.10, rightmost panel). In contrast, as detailed above, full support occurs when there is uncoupling of arterial pressure and left
ventricular systolic pressure resulting in a closed aortic valve [9]. Thus, full support can be
achieved, while the LV is only minimally unloaded (middle panels, Fig. 5.10). Clinically,
significant mitral regurgitation can also signify inadequate unloading. Pulsatile first-generation
pumps running on the eject-on-full mode offered profound LV unloading [43]. There is conflicting
evidence about the equivalence of LV unloading with pulsatile VADs vs. cf-LVADs,
although both can offer adequate hemodynamic support [7, 43, 44].

**Impact of RPM on CVP and PCWP**

Assessment of complex VAD-ventricular interactions based on physical examination can be
challenging, in particular as it relates to assessing an LVAD patient’s volume status. In a study
evaluating the use of invasive hemodynamic ramp test for device optimization in clinically
stable cf-LVAD patients, at baseline, only 43% of the patients had normal CVP and PCWP at
their original RPM settings (Fig. 5.11). During the ramp test, with an increase in speed, cardiac
output increased, and PCWP decreased with no significant change in the CVP and SBP. 56% of
the patients required adjustment of their pump speed from its original setting to achieve CVP
and PCWP close to normal range [37]. Finally, in a large percentage of the patients studied,
CVP and PCWP could not be optimized, signifying the need for altered medical therapy
(diuretics and/or afterload reduction). The finding that there was no rpm-dependent change in
CVP suggested the beneficial impact of LV unloading on RV function [37]. The findings
were similar in patients supported by HM2 and HVAD. The conclusion of the study is that clinical
assessment of volume status in LVAD patients is very challenging, and the hemody-
Fig. 5.10  Degree of support versus degree of unloading. (Created with Harvi-Online http://harvi.online)
Special Considerations

RV Failure with LVAD

Right heart failure is an important problem encountered in patients undergoing LVAD support. It occurs with a frequency of ~20–25% in the perioperative period, with ~1–5% of patients requiring at least temporary mechanical right ventricular support. In recent studies, approximately 30% of patients experience right heart failure during chronic LVAD support. Hemodynamic and mechanical interaction between right and left heart occurs due to their connection in series and anatomical coupling from a shared interventricular septum. LVADs can have either a beneficial or a detrimental effect on RV function. Beneficial effects can include a decrease in RV afterload (i.e., reduction of PCWP), favorable alteration of the RV geometry due to a reduction in impingement of the RV by the interventricular septum, as well as
improved coronary flow as a result of increased mean arterial pressure. Deleterious effects on RV function can result from several factors. LVADs increase venous return to the right heart, thereby increasing the preload which can potentially overwhelm the RV. An increase in RV afterload pressure can also occur when increased flow passes through a fixed pulmonary vascular resistance. In addition, reduced LV pressure generation due to LV unloading reduces LV contribution to RV pressure generation, and the effect is referred to as interventricular dependence that is mediated mainly by the interventricular septum [45].

**Pulmonary Hypertension**

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) due to chronic vascular remodeling can occur in chronic heart failure. Decoupling of pulmonary artery diastolic pressure (PADP) and PCWP defined as >5 mmHg difference between the two pressures has been observed in PH. A study reports of 43–48% heart failure patients with LVAD had decoupling of PADP and PCWP at baseline speeds, and it was a significant predictor for the composite endpoint of death and heart failure readmissions. 30% of the patients with decoupling could be normalized after a combined invasive hemodynamic measurement and ramp test-based change in the device setting. Normalization was significantly associated with 1 year heart failure readmission-free survival compared to non-normalized group [46].

**Clinical LVAD Physiology**

Understanding ventricle-VAD interaction enables identification of clinical pathology especially when flow waveforms are available as detailed by Drs. Rich and Burkhoff [28]. Table 5.2 summarizes common pathologic conditions and VAD responses.

The concept is illustrated by one of these conditions: the detection of hypertension is of particular relevance.

**Hypertension**

Elevated blood pressure is associated with increased risk of stroke [47], aortic regurgitation [48], and pump thrombosis [49]. It is recommended that mean arterial pressures (MAP) be maintained within the range of 70–80 mm Hg [36], and MAPs >90 mm Hg are not recommended. Due to high afterload sensitivity, hypertension affects the amount of cardiac support and unloading provided by the cf-LVAD. In this regard, the centrifugal pumps, with their flatter H-Q curves, have a higher afterload sensitivity than axial-flow pumps.

As detailed previously, the cf-LVAD operate on the rpm-dependent HQ curve during the cardiac cycle, and, as a result, the flow waveform can be characterized by its peak flow, mean flow, and trough flow during each cycle (Fig. 5.7). Increased arterial pressure is mediated by an increased SVR and can alter the magnitude of all components of the flow to varying degrees. During hypertensive periods, the pressure gradient between the aorta and the LV (ΔP) increases particularly during diastole. As a result, while peak flow (during systole) may be little affected, trough flow (during diastole), and as a result, the mean flow, can be markedly reduced depending on the degree of elevation of the arterial pressure; this is associated with a significant increase in pulsatility (Fig. 5.12a). In certain circumstances, either no diastolic flow and even negative flow or flow reversal can occur [25, 50].

The opposite situation occurs in a patient who is hypotensive (Fig. 5.12b). In such a case, ΔP is lower than normal, and there is less variability of pressure gradient. Accordingly, mean flow is increased, and flow pulsatility is decreased.

**VAD-Exercise Physiology**

Aerobic exercise in healthy individuals results in increased heart rate, increased stroke volume, decrease in systemic vascular resistance, and mild to moderate elevation in blood pressure without elevation in intracardiac filling pressures. Whereas in heart failure, stroke volume is
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Loading conditions for the pump</th>
<th>$\Delta P$ systole</th>
<th>$\Delta P$ diastole</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>Power</th>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>Pulsatility</th>
<th>Hemodynamic parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypovolemia</td>
<td>Decreased preload</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>CVP ↓, PCWP↓, CO↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypervolemia</td>
<td>Increased preload</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>CVP↑, PCWP↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV failure</td>
<td>Decreased preload</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>CVP↑, PCWP↑, CO↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate hypertension</td>
<td>Increased afterload</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High (systolic predominant flow)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hypertension</td>
<td>Increased afterload</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low (reduced flow in systole and diastole)</td>
<td>PCWP↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outflow obstruction</td>
<td>Increased afterload</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>PCWP↑, CO↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflow obstruction</td>
<td>Decreased preload</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>PCWP↑, CO↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Low pump speed</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>PCWP↑ or → CO↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative high pump speed</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>PCWP↑, CO↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis/vasodilation</td>
<td>Decreased afterload</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>PCWP↑, CO↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV recovery</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>PCWP↑, CO↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump thrombosis</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>High (power spikes)</td>
<td>High (overestimation)</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>PCWP↑, CO↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic insufficiency</td>
<td>Increased preload, Decreased afterload</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>PCWP↑, CO↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamponade</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>CO↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CVP central venous pressure, PCWP pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, CO cardiac output, LV left ventricle, RV right ventricle
increased at the expense of LVEDV [51, 52]. Hemodynamic responses to exercise in cf-LVADs are yet to be thoroughly characterized. At rest, LVADs improve hemodynamics, functional capacity, and ventilatory function. However, during exercise, despite hemodynamic support provided by LVAD, patients are unable to reach age- and sex-predicted normal aerobic capacity, and exercise performance is reduced by half the expected value [53, 54]. The reduction in functional capacity during exercise is multifactorial [53, 54]. No difference in hemodynamic support and exercise capacity has been noted between pulsatile and cf-LVADs [7].

**Chronotropic Incompetence and Preload**

The ability to augment heart rate plays a major role in augmenting stroke volume in healthy patients and has been associated with decreased exercise performance in heart failure [55]. The importance of chronotropic incompetence in patients with cf-LVAD is controversial. Early studies in calves showed that at fixed pump speeds, LVAD flow occurred predominantly in systole in exercise and was caused by an increase in the heart rate [56]. However, recently, Muthiah et al. [57] have demonstrated that changes in maximum and minimum heart rates by adjusting the pacemaker function did not show a significant change in cardiac output through the VAD in patients with closed AV valves. In contrast, changes in preload was associated with significant changes in the LVAD flow in the same set of patients as evaluated by tilt table testing. This was further supported in a study by Hu et al. [58] wherein recumbent position and not heart rate was associated with increased pump flow suggesting that preload plays a key role during exercise.
Effect of Pump Speed Adjustment During Exercise

In current practice, cf-LVADs are run at fixed RPMs irrespective of the level of activity. Several studies have assessed the role of active pump modulation with mixed results [59, 60]. No significant benefit of increasing pump speed during exercise in terms of total cardiac output has been reported in recent literature [61, 62]. On the contrary, increased in PCWP and RAP during exercise were noted, even with increased speed [52, 62, 63]. The likely explanation for this phenomenon is that, in contrast to the failed unassisted ventricle, the assisted ventricle has stiffer elastic properties and works on the nonlinear portion of diastolic stiffness resulting in increased filling pressures with minimal changes in the LVEDD. Exercise-adjusted pump speeds may still have a beneficial effect on the PV loop morphology as evidenced by return of the PV loop to a more triangular shape when LVAD speed is increased during exercise. At present, unloading provided by CF-LVADs remains suboptimal.

Ideal algorithms for pump speed during exercise at present are not available, and LV suction remains a pitfall of increasing pump speed.

Summary

In addition to restoring systemic blood flow and blood pressure, LVADs play a crucial role in unloading the left ventricle and facilitating reverse remodeling and recovery. We have provided an in-depth description of ventricular mechanics, vascular properties, and pump hemodynamics, thus providing a strong foundation for understanding the complex nature of their interactions that determine blood flow to the body, blood pressure, and LV unloading. In practice, these concepts are fundamental to the understanding and interpretation of hemodynamic ramp tests. In addition, we have detailed how factors intrinsic to the pump, the heart, and the vasculature (systemic and pulmonary) impact LVAD-assisted circulation and lead to either suboptimal hemodynamic support or, in extreme
cases, complications such as RV dysfunction and pump thrombosis resulting in hemodynamic collapse.
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