Load dependence of ventricular performance explained by model of calcium-myofilament interactions
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Shimizu, Juichiro, Koji Todaka, and Daniel Burkhoff. Load dependence of ventricular performance explained by model of calcium-myofilament interactions. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 282: H1081–H1091, 2002; 10.1152/ajpheart.00498.2001.—Although a simple concept of load-independent behavior of the intact heart evolved from early studies of isolated, intact blood-perfused hearts, more recent studies showed that, as in isolated muscle, the mode of contraction (isovolumic vs. ejection) impacts on end-systolic elastance. The purpose of the present study was to test whether a four-state model of myofilament interactions with length-dependent rate constants could explain the complex contractile behavior of the intact, ejecting heart. Studies were performed in isolated, blood-perfused canine hearts with intracellular calcium transients measured by microinjected aequorin. Measured calcium transients were used as the driving function for the model, and length-dependent rate constants yielding the highest concordance between measured and model-predicted midwall stress at different isovolumic volumes were determined. These length-dependent rate constants successfully predicted contractile behavior on ejecting contractions. This, along with additional model analysis, suggests that length-dependent changes in calcium binding affinity may not be an important factor contributing to load-dependent contractile performance in the intact heart under physiological conditions.
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Although many early studies of isolated cardiac muscle showed a complex dependence of myocardial contractile force on length, rate, and extent of shortening, a simpler concept of load-independent behavior of the intact heart initially evolved from studies in isolated, intact blood-perfused hearts. These studies led to widespread acceptance of the end-systolic pressure-volume relationship (ESPVR) as a load-independent index of ventricular contractile state (29). Although the ESPVR approach has proven invaluable as a tool to quantify and track changes in ventricular contractile state under a wide range of conditions and has enabled new understanding of ventricular-vascular coupling, it is a phenomenological description of ventricular properties with no link to basic mechanisms of myofilament contraction. Additionally, it has become increasingly clear that loading conditions can influence the ESPVR (6, 7, 15).

Attempting to establish a link between the growing understanding of the biochemical interactions involved in muscle contraction and whole organ properties, we demonstrated the feasibility of a four-state biochemical scheme of calcium, actin, and myosin interactions (Fig. 1) to explain the complex contractile behavior of the intact heart under isovolumic conditions at different volumes (3, 5). Initial modeling studies led to experiments focused on characterizing length dependence of myocardial calcium sensitivity in intact hearts (28). We identified important quantitative differences in calcium sensitivity and load dependence of calcium sensitivity between intact hearts and isolated, superfused cardiac muscle (28). Our prior investigations, however, were limited to experiments performed under isovolumic conditions.

In the present study, calcium and ventricular pressure transients were measured on isovolumic and ejecting contractions in isolated, blood-perfused, physiologically afterloaded canine hearts. Using these data, we tested the hypothesis that the four-state model (Fig. 1) with length-dependent rate constants could explain contractile behavior of the intact, ejecting heart. Length dependence of rate constant values was determined from the isovolumic beats, and these were then used to successfully predict contractile performance on ejecting beats. The characteristics of intracellular calcium transients ([Ca^{2+}]) measured during abrupt changes in loading conditions challenged the notion that myofilament calcium binding is length dependent. Model analysis further showed that length-dependent calcium binding was not required for the model to accurately predict ventricular behavior over a wide range of loading conditions.

METHODS

Surgical Preparation

Six isolated, blood-perfused canine hearts were studied with standard techniques (32). A balloon connected to a volume servo-pump system secured inside the left ventricu-
lar (LV) chamber of the isolated heart was used to measure and control LV volume. A micromanometer (Millar) placed inside the balloon measured LV pressure (LVP). The volume servo system was commanded by a computer-generated windkessel impedance afterload (30, 31), which enabled investigation of ventricular properties under both physiologically ejecting and isovolumic conditions. Pacing electrodes were sutured to the apex of the LV, and the heart was paced at 120 beats/min. Coronary arterial pressure was fixed at ~80 mmHg by a servo system. The temperature of the perfusate was maintained at 37°C by a heat exchanger so that the heart temperature was ~35°C. Physiological signals were digitized at a rate of 1 kHz and analyzed off-line.

Measurements of Calcium Transients

Aequorin injections were performed in the inferoapical region. Injections consisted of 3–5 μl of an aequorin solution (composition in mmol/l: 154 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 12 HEPES, 11 glucose, and 0.1 EDTA with 1 mg/ml aequorin, adjusted to pH 7.40). Approximately six injections per heart were made just under the epimysium with a low-resistance glass micropipette with an inner diameter of ~30 μm (32). The surface of a photomultiplier tube (9235QA, Thorn EMI, Fairfield, NJ; energized by a Thorn EMI PM28R power supply set at 900 V) was positioned so that it was in contact with the aequorin injection region. The isolated heart and photomultiplier tube were positioned inside a lighttight box. Aequorin signals were calibrated into absolute [Ca2+]i, per-1 fusion between these tracings.

Experimental Protocol

The protocol is illustrated in the original experimental recordings of Fig. 2. The volume servo system was set so that the LV ejected from a preload volume selected to provide an end-diastolic pressure of ~15 mmHg and against an afterload impedance adjusted to provide an initial ejection fraction of ~50%. After a steady state had been reached, the mode of contraction was switched to isovolumic at a preselected time during filling. The mode of contraction was then switched back to ejection with the original afterload settings, and the procedure was repeated between two and four times.

Fig. 2. Representative left ventricular (LV) pressure (LVP; A), volume (LVV; B), and calcium transients ([Ca2+]i; C) measured during steady-state ejecting contractions and on the first isovolumic beat of variously timed volume clamps. D: LVP-LVV loops corresponding to A and B. There is an approximately linear relationship between peak pressure and volume on the isovolumic contractions, and the pressure-volume loop of the ejecting beat “breaks through” this line. E: superimposed averaged [Ca2+]i, during steady-state ejecting contractions (dotted line) and during isovolumic contractions at the 3 different volumes; there is no detectable difference between these tracings.
at different isovolumic clamping volumes so that isovolumic data were obtained at three to five different volumes.

**Calculation of Strain and Stress from Observed LV Volume and Pressure**

To relate events measured in the ventricle to phenomena predicted by the biochemical model, LVP and ventricular volumes (LVV) were converted into myocardial stresses (σ; muscle force per unit cross-sectional area) and strains (ε; average normalized segment length) as described previously (12). For wall stress, the following equation was applied

\[
\frac{\sigma_f}{P_{lw}} = 1 + 3 \frac{V_{Ly}}{V_L} \quad (2)
\]

where \(P_{lw}\) is LV pressure, \(V_{Ly}\) is LV cavity volume, and \(V_L\) is LV wall volume. For strain, the following equation was applied

\[
\left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{ref}} \right)^3 = \frac{V_{h} + h \cdot V_{w}}{V_{h,ref} + h \cdot V_{w}} \quad (3)
\]

where \(\varepsilon\) is muscle strain, \(\varepsilon_{ref}\) is muscle strain at an arbitrarily defined reference state (we defined the reference state as the volume, \(V_{h,ref}\), at which end-diastolic pressure was 20 mmHg), and \(h\) is the fraction of the wall volume enclosed by the average layer. The fraction \(h\) was estimated to be 33% (12).

**Determination of Load-Dependent Rate Constants**

The measured calcium transients were used as the driving function for the simultaneous differential equations that describe the four-state biochemical model of contraction (detailed in the Appendix), and muscle stress [assumed to be proportional to the total number of strong actin-myosin bonds (A-M)] was the output

\[
\sigma(t) = \alpha(\varepsilon)[(Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A-M) + [Tn \cdot A-M]] \quad (4)
\]

where \(\alpha(\varepsilon)\) is proportional to the force generated by a single cross bridge as a function of strain (28). Myofilament cooperativity accounting for the fact that myofilament interactions are influenced by force generation, a factor previously shown to be critical for explaining contractile behavior, was also introduced into the model as summarized previously (4, 9, 25). Rate constant values were optimized (downhill simplex algorithm) to minimize the root mean squared (RMS) difference between predicted (\(\sigma_p\)) and measured (\(\sigma_m\)) stress at each strain: RMS = \(\frac{1}{n} \times \text{SQRT} \sum (\sigma_m - \sigma_p)^2\) where \(n\) is the number of digitized points acquired during a given contraction. This procedure yielded strain-dependent rate constant values as detailed previously (3). These strain-dependent rate constants were then used in the four-state biochemical model to test whether stress on an ejecting beat could be predicted from the measured calcium transient and strain pattern.

Because it is controversial as to whether or not troponin C calcium binding affinity is length dependent, two different model analyses were performed. In analysis 1, all parameters of the model were allowed to vary with ventricular volume under the assumption that both calcium binding affinity of troponin and actin-myosin binding affinity vary with strain. In analysis 2, however, calcium-binding affinity of troponin was assumed to be independent of strain. In analysis 2, therefore, length dependence of myofilament performance lies solely in the cross-bridge interaction. For each analysis, the value of each rate constant was plotted as a function of strain. Results showed that there was always a reasonably linear relationship between parameter values and strain, so these were each summarized by linear regression.

**Application of Four-State Model to Ejecting Contractions**

To apply the four-state model to ejecting contractions, we made the following assumptions: 1) the rate constants of the four-state model change instantaneously as a function of strain but are independent of the shortening velocity; and 2) the force per unit cross bridge will change with shortening velocity according to Hill’s equation (13). With these assumptions, generated stress on shortening contractions is described as follows

\[
\sigma = \alpha(\varepsilon)[(Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A-M) + [Tn \cdot A-M]] \left( \frac{\text{d} \varepsilon}{\text{d} t} = 0 \right) \quad (5)
\]

\[
\sigma = \frac{\alpha(\varepsilon)H_b - H_s}{\frac{\text{d} \varepsilon}{\text{d} t}} \left( [(Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A-M) + [Tn \cdot A-M]] \left( \frac{\text{d} \varepsilon}{\text{d} t} < 0 \right) \right)
\]

where \(\alpha(\varepsilon)\) is the maximum force per unit cross bridge (mmHg·μmol·1·1−1·1−3), and \(H_s\) (mmHg·μmol·1·1−3) and \(H_b\) (s−1) are constants of the Hill equation (13).

**RESULTS**

**Intracellular Calcium Transients Are Not Significantly Different Between Ejecting and Isovolumic Contractions**

Representative pressure and calcium transients measured during steady-state ejecting beats and on the first isovolumic beat of variously timed volume clamps are shown in Fig. 2. As shown in Fig. 2D, there is an approximately linear relationship (dotted line) between peak pressure and volume on the three isovolumic beats. The pressure-volume loop obtained on the ejecting beat “breaks through” that line, indicating an increased effective contractile state during ejection compared with isovolumic contractions as reported previously (6). Calcium transients from the final three ejecting beats and the first isovolumic contraction of these series are shown in Fig. 2C. Because the aequorin signals are bright, each signal shown was obtained by signal-averaging only two to four transients, which were obtained by repeating each loading sequence two to four times. In Fig. 2E, the calcium transient of the last ejecting beat is superimposed on the transients from each of the three isovolumic contractions. There was no detectable difference between these curves. Such data were obtained from all six hearts of this study and, as summarized in Table 1, neither peak calcium nor the duration of the calcium transient (measured at a value of 10% of the peak value) was influenced by the volume at which the clamp was imposed. Thus there is no detectable influence of volume or shortening on the calcium transient over a physiological range of volumes and ejection patterns despite the marked influence on pressure generation. As discussed in *Time course of calcium binding*, this finding implies that myofilament calcium binding affinity is not length dependent. This suggests...
that, for the four-state model, rate constants related to calcium binding ($K_1-K_4$) do not vary with muscle length or ventricular volume.

**Four-State Model Predicts Stress on Isovolumic Contractions at Different Strains**

The solid lines in Fig. 3 show the midwall myocardial stress transients estimated from the three isovolumic pressure waves of Fig. 2. With the measured calcium transients as the driving forces (Fig. 2E), the parameter values of the four-state model were optimized to provide the best concordance between the model predicted and the measured stress curves. As shown, the model is able to describe the stress transients very well at each strain with either analysis 1 (dashed lines; calcium affinity of troponin allowed to vary with strain) or analysis 2 (dotted lines; constant calcium affinity of troponin). Rate constant values as a function of strain for this example are shown in Fig. 4 for both analyses. Over the range of strains encountered, there was a reasonably linear relationship between each parameter value and strain. The RMS difference between the measured and predicted stress curves was determined for each of 39 isovolumic contractions examined in this study. The results showed that RMS was equally low for both analysis 1 and analysis 2 ($1.8 \pm 1.3$ and $2.8 \pm 0.7$ mmHg, respectively), indicating, on a statistical basis, that both models provided good predictions of isovolumic stress curves. The average ($\pm$SD) values for all model parameter values obtained from all studies for both analysis 1 and analysis 2 are summarized in Table 2.

**Ejecting Contractions**

The solid lines in Fig. 5, A–C, show the measured calcium transient, midwall strain ($\varepsilon$), change in $\varepsilon$ with time (d$\varepsilon$/d$t$), and midwall stress, respectively, during the ejection contraction corresponding to the isovolumic data shown in Fig. 3. The stress-strain loop is shown in Fig. 5D. With the measured calcium transient and strain curve as inputs and the strain-dependent parameter values for the four-state model determined under isovolumic conditions (Fig. 4), it is seen that both analysis 1 (dashed lines) and analysis 2 (dotted lines) predicted the stress measured during ejection well (Fig. 5, C and D). Values for $H_a$ and $H_b$, the parameters that characterize the force-velocity relationship (Eq. 5), were adjusted to optimize the model prediction. Despite the difference in rate constants, the best fit values for $H_a$ and $H_b$ were not significantly different for analyses 1 and 2 ($H_a$: $0.928 \pm 0.263$ vs. $0.943 \pm 0.101$ mmHg$\cdot$mmol$^{-1} \cdot$cell$^{-1}^{-1}$ and $H_b$: $17.4 \pm 6.14$ vs. $17.7 \pm 2.93$ s$^{-1}$, respectively; $n = 13$ for each analysis). Thus the calculated instantaneous force per unit cross bridge during the contraction (Fig. 5E) showed a similar time course during the beat for the two analyses. This example and other examples shown in Fig. 6 are representatives of model predictions of 13 such ejecting contractions analyzed in this manner both at high and low ejection fractions. The RMS difference between measured and predicted stress curves on the ejection beats was similar between analysis 1 and analysis 2 ($1.7 \pm 0.7$ and $1.6 \pm 0.7$ mmHg, respectively), and each was smaller than obtained on the isovolumic contractions. Thus both models are equally good at prospectively predicting the stress curve under ejecting conditions from rate constant values obtained from isovolumic contractions.

**Physiological Behavior of Four-State Model**

To further test the validity of the four-state model, several basic physiological muscle properties were predicted and compared with experimental results from prior studies.

**Myofilament calcium sensitivity.** Myofilament calcium sensitivity is classically indexed by measuring the relationship between force ($\sigma$) and calcium concentration under steady state, equilibrium (nontwitch)
Mean parameter values obtained from analysis of all isovolumic contractions.

Table 2. Mean parameter values obtained from analysis of all isovolumic contractions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate Constant</th>
<th>Analysis 1</th>
<th>Analysis 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{1\alpha}$</td>
<td>$1.49 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$-1.07 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 3.03 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$\pm 2.21 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{1\beta}$</td>
<td>$4.87 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$-2.13 \times 10^{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 4.94 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$\pm 4.25 \times 10^{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_2$</td>
<td>$3.91 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$1.68 \times 10^{3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 4.50 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$\pm 1.96 \times 10^{3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_3$</td>
<td>$-3.36 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$-3.56 \times 10^{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 3.13 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$\pm 3.33 \times 10^{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_4$</td>
<td>$-1.14 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$1.41 \times 10^{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 1.16 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$\pm 1.25 \times 10^{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$-1.25 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-1.39 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 8.06 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$\pm 7.72 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{aH}}$</td>
<td>$1.92 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$-1.24 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 3.35 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$\pm 3.67 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{dH}}$</td>
<td>$3.06 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>$1.80 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 1.01 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$\pm 2.42 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{d}}$</td>
<td>$-7.91 \times 10^{1}$</td>
<td>$1.10 \times 10^{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pm 1.66 \times 10^{2}$</td>
<td>$\pm 1.85 \times 10^{2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD; n = 13 in each analysis. $K_{1\alpha}, K_{1\beta}, K_{\text{max}}, K_{\text{aH}}, K_{\text{dH}},$ adjustable constants. See Fig. 1 for definitions of $K_1–K_4, K_3,$ and $K_d.$
between ventricular contractile performance we explored the relationship model predicts that ejection velocity would impact on strains is shown in Fig. 7, (1). The amount of bound calcium as a function of contributes importantly to the Frank-Starling relation-

\[ \text{calcium binding constants, calcium binding is similar with length. For } K_7 \text{ allowed to vary with strain, calcium binding increases with length. For } K_2 \text{ with invariant calcium binding constants, calcium binding is similar for contractions at different lengths. This aspect of predicted muscle physiology fundamentally differenti-

\[ \text{analyses 1 and 2.} \]

### DISCUSSION

Hemodynamic loading conditions did not influence the macroinjected aequorin luminescence transient measured in blood-perfused intact hearts at 35°C over a physiological range of loads. We conclude from this, as discussed further below, that calcium binding is not strongly influenced by length under these conditions. It was further demonstrated that contractile behavior of the intact, ejecting heart can be predicted by a model of myofilament-calcium interactions in which calcium
binding is not influenced by length but other rate constants of myofilament interactions and their length dependence are determined under isovolumic conditions.

We showed in a prior study (32) with the same experimental methods that relatively small differences in calcium transients that paralleled changes in pressure development observed over longer time periods after a sustained change in loading conditions could be detected in isolated canine hearts with macroinjected aequorin luminescence measured from the epicardium. This is an important similarity to observations made originally by Allen and Kurihara (2) in isolated muscle. Aequorin luminescence is bright with this technique, requiring signal averaging of as few as two beats to obtain high-fidelity signals with low signal-to-noise ratios. Changes in strain during typical ejecting contractions in the epicardial layers from where the calcium transients are recorded are similar to those estimated for the midmyocardial layers. These factors render the methods used in the present study appropriate for studying changes in calcium kinetics under physiological conditions and would have detected these changes had they occurred.

Fig. 6. Additional examples of measured (thin solid line) and prospectively predicted (by analysis 2; thick solid line) stress curves during ejecting contractions from model rate constant values determined under isovolumic contractions. The stress curves of isovolumic beats clamped at end-diastolic volume (dashed line) and at end-systolic volume (dotted line) are also shown. A and B: high ejection fractions (~50%). C and D: low ejection fraction (~15%). Results obtained with analysis 1 were indistinguishable.

Fig. 7. A: representative force-calcium curves based on analysis 2 at various strains ($\varepsilon = 1.00, \varepsilon = 0.96, \varepsilon = 0.92, \varepsilon = 0.87$). These curves shifted leftward and upward with increasing strain. B: predicted time course of bound calcium (i.e., sum of amount of state 2 and state 3 of Fig. 1) for analysis 1, in which calcium binding rate constants ($K_1$ to $K_4$) are allowed to vary with strain; calcium binding increases with length. C: for analysis 2, with length-independent calcium binding rate constants, bound calcium is similar for isovolumic contractions at different strains.
Comparable results have been obtained in some studies of isolated, superfused papillary muscles in which peak intracellular calcium did not change significantly immediately after length changes (2, 14, 19, 21). Because it is estimated that ~95% of total released calcium is bound to the myofilament and calcium indicators (like aequorin) measure only free calcium, even small changes in myofilament affinity would be detected as substantial changes in free calcium.

In contrast, load-dependent changes in the rate of fall of free calcium have been noted in prior studies of isolated superfused muscles (2, 19). Such changes were not observed in the present study. Changes in the time course of the calcium transient were also not identified in a recent study of rat trabeculae (18). There are several possible reasons for such discrepancies. Differences in experimental conditions include blood perfusion vs. crystalloid superfusion (the latter potentially increasing cellular and interstitial water content), higher (more physiological) temperature in the intact heart, and influences of possible damage during the muscle isolation process. The findings in isolated muscles could thus reflect muscle behavior outside the boundaries of physiological conditions. Although the conditions under which isolated muscles are studied are more controllable and the measurements are less subject to artifacts and less devoid of confounding aspects of complex ventricular geometry and activation sequence than measurements in the intact heart, results obtained from intact hearts should not be dismissed on this basis.

In a study of crystalloid-perfused ferret hearts, our laboratory previously showed (3) that rate constants could be determined such that the four-state model with cooperativity (i.e., force-dependent actin-myosin binding affinity) was able to accurately fit isovolumic pressure curves from the measured calcium transient. With regard to isovolumic contractions, the present study extends these previous findings by showing how rate constants vary with volume and providing their values under the more physiological conditions of blood perfusion. On the basis of these values, model-predicted steady-state force-pCa curves were sigmoidal with \( \eta_H \) of ~0.9, similar to other studies of isolated muscle preparations and isolated heart preparations (1, 28). In prior studies, but not in the present study,
length-dependent increases in $\eta_{II}$ have been observed. This includes one study from our own laboratory (28) in which $\eta_{II}$ varied from 4.91 to 3.87 with strain values from 1.00 to 0.75. Differences in experimental factors noted above could have contributed to this relatively minor difference.

There is precedence for the notion that length dependence of myofilament activation can occur without length dependence of troponin C-calcium binding affinity, such as occurs in slow skeletal muscle (24). The overall force-calcium relationship is determined by both troponin C-calcium affinity and by actin-myosin interactions. Thus by influencing myofilament interaction kinetics (e.g., actin-myosin lattice spacing and binding affinities, the magnitude of cooperativity), length exerts its influence on force development. For example, the rate of force redevelopment ($K_{tr}$) reflects such intrinsic myofilament properties and the present results suggest a strong dependency of $K_{tr}$ both on the level of myofilament calcium activation and on muscle (or sarcomere) length, as was shown experimentally in prior studies (23).

The application of the four-state model to ejecting contractions as implemented in the present study represents, to the best of our knowledge, the first attempt to model contractile behavior of ejecting contractions based on measured calcium transients with model parameter values obtained under isovolumic conditions. Prior theoretical studies have shown that one or another model could in general explain contractile behavior during shortening (17, 22), but there has been no prior attempt to explicitly test those models against measured data. The breadth of phenomena explained by the present model, however, should be acknowledged.

It could be argued that studies such as this should be performed in superfused isolated muscles. The use of epicardial calcium measurements from a single site and relating them to global pressure development presents several potential limitations. However, for reasons discussed above and specifically because certain key aspects of contractile behavior observed in intact hearts are not generally observed in isolated muscle, we considered it to be appropriate and important to investigate the phenomena under the more physiological conditions encountered in intact hearts. Results of several studies support the notion that, despite a seemingly complex ventricular geometry, myocardial activation sequence, and potential heterogeneity of muscle properties, conclusions pertaining to cellular and myofilament properties can be based on assessments of average midwall stresses and strains determined from intact hearts (8, 10, 11, 16, 26).

Additionally, there are a few limitations in converting LVV and LVP into strain and stress. First, strain calculated with this model does not necessarily equate with strain measured in a single sarcomere. Second, defining the reference point for strain (i.e., the point at which $\varepsilon = 1$) at an end-diastolic pressure of 20 mmHg does not necessarily equate to $L_{max}$, the preload that provides maximal sarcomere length of ~2.3 $\mu$m. Despite the potential lack of a strict 1:1 correspondence between calculated strain and true sarcomere length, use of midwall strain has proven over the years to be a useful means of quantifying changes in muscle stretch for purposes of comparing results from different hearts and for comparing results from intact hearts and isolated muscles and has been used in countless studies of ventricular mechanics. Furthermore, no claim of 1:1 correspondence between calculated strain and sarcomere length is required for any of the interpretations of the present study.

In conclusion, two important concepts have been revealed in the present study. First, measurements
with aequorin show that the intracellular free calcium transients are not influenced by abrupt changes in loading conditions, suggesting that myofilament calcium binding is not likely to be length dependent in vivo. Second, the four-state model of calcium-myofilament interactions with length and force-dependent actin-myosin binding kinetics defined during isovolumic contractions can predict the complex myocardial contractile behavior on ejecting contractions. It is not necessary to introduce length-dependent myofilament calcium affinity for the four-state model to explain contractile behavior on ejecting beats. Prior investigators advanced the concept of explaining whole heart behavior on the basis of the fundamental principles of muscle contraction (10, 11, 17, 26). The present study represents another step toward this goal by offering a comprehensive explanation for load dependence of ventricular performance, thus advancing understanding of the physiology of the intact heart under physiological conditions.

APPENDIX

Biochemical Model of Interactions between Calcium and Myofilaments

This section summarizes the quantitative aspects of implementing the four-state biochemical model of cross-bridge interactions (Fig. 1). Actin-myosin binding is considered to exist in two forms, a weak, nonforce generating bond (A-M) and a strong, force generating bond (A-M). In diastole, calcium is low and is dissociated from troponin C (Tn) and weak bonds dominate (state 1). As intracellular calcium rises and binds with Tn (state 2), strong bonds can be generated (state 3). Strong bonds can exist in two forms, a more stable form in which calcium is bound to the myofilaments (state 4) and a less stable state with no bound calcium (state 4) (3, 5). Thus the core model can be described analytically by the following set of simultaneous equations with seven rate constants

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d[Tn \cdot A]}{dt} &= -K_1[Ca][Tn \cdot A] + K_2[Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A] + K_3[Tn \cdot A-M] \\
\frac{d[M]}{dt} &= -K_1[Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A][M] + K_2[Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A-M] + K_3[Tn \cdot A-M] \\
\frac{d[Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A]}{dt} &= K_4[Ca][Tn \cdot A] + K_5[Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A-M] - (K_3 + K_5)[Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A] \\
\frac{d[Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A-M]}{dt} &= K_4[Ca][Tn \cdot A-M] + K_5[Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A-M] - (K_3[Ca] + K_3)[Tn \cdot A-M]
\end{align*}
\]

(Myofilament cooperativity is introduced into the model by assuming that \( K_1 \) (calcium binding affinity of the myofilaments) and \( K_3 \) (actin-myosin binding affinity) varied with the number of strong actin-myosin bonds as detailed previously (3, 25.)

\[
K_f(t) = K_{m1}([Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A-M] + [Tn \cdot A-M])^{K_{iv}} + K_{m2}
\]

\[
K_f(t) = K_{m2}([Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A-M] + [Tn \cdot A-M])^{K_{iv}} + K_{m3}
\]

where \( t \) is time, \( K_{m1}, K_{m2}, K_{m3}, \) and \( K_{m4} \) are adjustable constants, and \( K_{iv} \) and \( K_{iv} \) are constants set at 0.5 and 2.0, respectively, according to previous empirical analytical studies (3). Other possible means of introducing cooperativity based on \( K_2, K_3, \) and \( K_4 \) were studied in the past; these failed to yield physiological model behavior and are not discussed further here.

These equations were programmed on a digital computer for numerical solution as described in detail previously (3). The total concentration of actin and myosin were set at 70 and 20 \( \mu \)mol/l, respectively, as indicated in previous studies (3, 5). The driving function for this set of equations was the measured instantaneous calcium concentration. The output of the model was the instantaneous myocardial stress that is assumed to be proportional to the total concentration of strong actin-myosin bonds according to the following equation

\[
\sigma(t) = \alpha(\epsilon)([Ca \cdot Tn \cdot A-M] + [Tn \cdot A-M])
\]

where \( \alpha(\epsilon) \) is proportional to the force generated by a single cross bridge as a function of sarcomere strain (28). We assumed that 0.1 \( \mu \)mol/l of strong bound cross bridge could generate 1 mmHg of muscle stress; thus \( \alpha(\epsilon) \) were defined as

\[
\alpha(\epsilon) = \frac{10e - 7 \cdot 1}{3 \cdot 0.1}
\]
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